The role of tetrahydrobiopterin in inflammation and cardiovascular disease
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Summary

The cofactor tetrahydrobiopterin (BH4) is required for nitric oxide (NO) production by all nitric oxide synthase (NOS) enzymes and is a key regulator of cellular redox signalling. When BH4 levels become limiting NOS enzymes become ‘uncoupled’ and produce superoxide rather than NO. Endothelial cell BH4 is required for the maintenance of vascular function through NO production, and reduced BH4 levels are associated with vascular dysfunction. Evidence increasingly points to important roles for BH4 and NOS enzymes in other vascular cell types. Leukocytes have a fundamental role in atherosclerosis, and new evidence points to a role in the control of hypertension. Leukocytes are a major site of iNOS expression, and the regulation of this isoform is another mechanism by which BH4 availability may modulate disease. This review provides an overview of BH4 control of NOS function in both endothelial cells and leukocytes in the context of vascular disease and current therapeutic evaluations.
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Introduction

Generation of nitric oxide (NO) by the vascular endothelium is a pivotal regulator of normal vascular homeostasis. Loss of normal NO-mediated endothelial function is an early and characteristic feature of vascular disease states, and is prospectively associated with adverse clinical risk in patients with vascular disease risk factors. Impaired endothelial function is also associated with increased production of reactive oxygen species (ROS), and reactive nitrogen species (RNS), in part through rapid reactions with NO, which reduce NO bioactivity. However, these reactions also mediate signalling through redox modification of target molecules that have important roles in both vascular physiology and disease pathogenesis. Vascular diseases are also associated with systemic inflammation, reflected for example in elevated levels of markers such as C-reactive protein, and by direct involvement of inflammatory cells in vascular disease pathogenesis, where NO production is implicated in inflammatory cell function. Thus, NO has multiple and complex roles in both the pathophysiology of the vascular wall, and in the local and systemic inflammatory response.

The NO synthase (NOS) enzymes catalyse the formation of NO by oxidation of L-arginine, reduction of molecular oxygen and production of a by-product, L-citrulline. In NOS catalysis, BH4 controls ‘coupling’ of the haem-oxygen intermediate to L-arginine oxidation, thus controlling the generation of either NO or superoxide (Fig. 1 A) (1, 2). When BH4 levels become deficient the net output of NOS enzymes becomes superoxide, produced from the oxygenase domain of the enzyme. The ability of the NOS enzymes to produce both NO, which maintains vascular function, and superoxide, underlies the critical importance of controlling NOS function in vascular disease. If NOS enzymes become uncoupled, the superoxide they produce can undergo immediate reactions to produce other ROS such as peroxynitrite or hydrogen peroxide, which can greatly alter the balance of redox signalling, leading to cellular injury and inflammation. The careful balance of NO/ROS production by NOS is intimately linked to cellular BH4 levels, and increased ROS production from uncoupled NOS enzymes can further limit BH4 availability through oxidation of this molecule. Once oxidised to BH2 (7,8-dihydrobiopterin), or other oxidised forms, BH4 is no longer able to maintain NOS coupling, propagating ROS production by the enzyme, that may in turn lead to further BH4 oxidation (3). Indeed, BH2 is reported to act as a competitive inhibitor at the BH4 binding site, making the ratio between BH4 and BH2 a determinant of NOS expression, and the regulation of this isoform is another mechanism by which BH4 availability may modulate disease. This review provides an overview of BH4 control of NOS function in both endothelial cells and leukocytes in the context of vascular disease and current therapeutic evaluations.
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BH4 is synthesised through a multistep pathway initiated by GTP cyclohydrolase I (GTPCH) (Fig. 1B) (6). GTPCH, encoded by GCH1, is the rate-limiting enzyme in BH4 biosynthesis (5, 7) and GCH1 expression is the key determinant of endothelial cell BH4 levels and eNOS regulation in endothelial cells (8–11). Thus, understanding the stimuli that induce or modulate GCH1 expression is as important as understanding the expression of NOS isoforms themselves. eNOS is constitutively expressed in endothelial cells, but external factors such as laminar shear stress upregulate eNOS expression (12). Laminar shear stress also regulates BH4 levels, in this case rather than as a result of alterations in GCH1 expression GTPCH protein undergoes phosphorylation, which enhances enzymatic activity (13). In diseased vessels eNOS levels can be reduced in endothelial cells overlying atherosclerotic plaque (14). However, in many conditions causing decreased vascular function, such as diabetes and hypertension, eNOS levels are not reduced (15, 16). In these conditions it is the availability of BH4 that drives effects via vascular dysfunction, rather than a lack of eNOS per se.

GCH1 is upregulated by inflammatory cytokines, such as tumour necrosis factor-α, pathogen associated stimuli such as LPS (17), and oxidative stimuli such as hydrogen peroxide (18). More specifically cytokine stimuli have been shown to mediate GCH1 transcription through the coordinate induction of the nuclear factor-κB and JAK-STAT pathway (19). These stimuli are potent inducers of GTPCH in multiple cell types in addition to endothelial cells (20). In particular macrophages are very sensitive to inflammatory stimuli, increasing BH4 levels rapidly in response to these stimuli (21). Studies have shown that GCH1 is induced even more widely within the immune system, being induced in T-cells by activation of the T-cell receptor and co-stimulatory signal (22). This modulation by inflammatory stimuli implicates BH4 in immunity and the response to inflammation more widely than just by maintaining vascular function. In addition to regulation via transcription GTPCH activity is also regulated by feedback inhibition, effected via GTPCH feedback regulatory protein (GFRP) and BH4 itself (23). BH4 levels can also be recovered by production of BH4 via a dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR)-mediated salvage pathway from the oxidised pterin BH2 (Fig. 1B) (24). Interestingly, regulation of other elements of the BH4 biosynthetic pathway by inflammatory stimuli has also been reported, such as the induction of PTPS in endothelial cells in response to interleukin (IL)-1β (25) and the downregulation of GFRP in monocytes by LPS (26). GTPCH activity can also be modulated by phosphorylation, for example shear stress causes phosphorylation on serine 81 via casein kinase II (13) and T-cell activation causes phosphorylation on serine 72 (22). Taken together these data show that the body has multiple methods of controlling BH4 levels in both health and in disease states, particularly in response to inflammatory stimuli, implying availability of BH4 is critical.

The control of cellular BH4 is important more widely in biology, with BH4 acting as a co-factor for aromatic amino acid hydroxylases, controlling epinephrine, norepinephrine, dopamine and 5-HT levels, and in ether lipid metabolism through regulation of AGMO activity (27, 28). The control of cellular BH4 levels are reviewed in more detail elsewhere (3).

NOS enzymes in cardiovascular disease

NOS enzymes exist in three forms: neuronal NOS (nNOS, NOS1); endothelial NOS (eNOS, NOS3) and inducible NOS (iNOS, NOS2). The nNOS isoform has important roles in the control of autonomic function in cardiovascular disease, which are outside the scope of this review (see [29] for an overview). Inducible NOS has profound effects on vascular function under conditions of sepsis, where it mediates profound hypotension, and is a potent mediator of inflammation (30). The eNOS isoform has a well-established role in vascular disease states such as atherosclerosis, hypertension, and ischaemia-reperfusion, which are all characterised by abnormalities in endothelial function. In endothelial cells, NO generated by eNOS modulates blood flow and pressure and has a number of important anti-atherogenic effects (31–33). Deficient NO-mediated endothelial function is characteristic of cardiovascular diseases, correlates with risk factor profile and is an independent predictor of adverse cardiac events (34, 35). Experimental studies in eNOS−/− mice demonstrate that eNOS has necessary and sufficient roles in vascular disease pathophysiology (36). The eNOS−/− mice demonstrate expected deficiencies in endothelial-derived relaxation to NOS-dependent stimuli, such as acetylcholine, in organ bath experiments and are hypertensive (37). When crossed with the hyperlipidaemic ApoE−/− mouse line a lack of eNOS expression increases both diet-induced atherosclerosis and injury-induced neointima formation (38–40). More recent studies have addressed the degree of NOS uncoupling seen in in vivo disease models. ApoE−/- mice have both a reduced production of NO, compared to matched wild-type mice, and an overproduction of NOS-derived ROS species, as demonstrated by their inhibition with L-NAME treatment (41). However, the net effect of all mediators produced by eNOS is anti-atherogenic, as eNOS double knockout mice show an increase in aortic VCAM expression and corresponding increase in leukocyte rolling and adhesion in the carotid artery (41). Despite this experimental basis, there is no evidence that primarily targeting NO-mediated endothelial function can alone reduce vascular disease progression or risk. Indeed, simple pharmacological NO generation, such as the use of nitrate drugs, have shown no prognostic clinical benefits and are associated with adverse consequences such as impaired vasomotor responses and increased vascular oxidative stress (42).

Due to the dual nature of NOS enzymes, simple knockout approaches may provide a misleading impression of how the molecule acts in disease. By removing the whole enzyme both the beneficial (NO) and potentially damaging (ROS) output is removed. Whilst these experiments have demonstrated the net positive effect of eNOS expression, the logical idea that eNOS overexpression would therefore be protective is not the case (43). Overexpression of eNOS causes an acceleration of atherosclerotic dis-
ease, accompanied by a skewing of NOS output towards an increase in superoxide production due to a relative lack of BH4 due to the high levels of eNOS expression. This phenomenon is reversed by BH4 supplementation, which causes a decrease in atherosclerotic burden in eNOS transgenic/ApoE-/- mice (43). Whilst this data shows a positive effect of BH4 supplementation in a model of abundant eNOS uncoupling, it does not address effects of BH4 under more physiological disease conditions.

Experimental studies targeting BH4 directly, through supplementation or via the biosynthesis pathway, have yielded a picture of how this molecule can switch the enzyme between a pro- and an anti-atherosclerotic state. The most simple of these have shown positive effects of BH4 supplementation in reducing plaque size and leukocyte infiltration in the ApoE-/- model of atherosclerosis (44, 45). These positive effects are assumed to be mediated through effects on eNOS, as they closely mimic the effects of endothelial-specific GCH1 overexpression. Studies using this mouse model have shown that increasing endothelial cell BH4 is protective in models of diabetic vascular dysfunction and atherogenesis (8, 9). It has been shown that a reduction in BH4 levels, through use of the synthesis inhibitor DAHP, alters vascular function ex vivo causing relaxation of arterial rings to occur through hydrogen peroxide production, which was reversible by a BH4 analogue (46). The therapeutic capacity for ‘improving’ NOS function is clearly demonstrated, but these do not reveal the NOS enzymes that are modulated to achieve these effects.

### Leukocytes in vascular disease

Alongside the importance of the endothelial cell in vascular health and disease, leukocytes have a critical role in vascular disease pathogenesis. One of the earliest steps in the initiation of atherosclerosis is the recruitment of monocyte macrophages to the vessel wall. These cells consume oxidised lipids by scavenger receptor-mediated uptake, forming fatty streak lesions composed of foam cells. These inflammatory macrophages are a source of ROS within the developing plaque and also secrete cytokine factors that cause further local inflammation and cellular activation. This potentiation of inflammation causes a further recruitment of other components of the immune system such as T-lymphocytes, and leads to the formation of a more advanced and complex plaque (47–49). The process of leukocyte recruitment to plaque prone areas is intimately linked to endothelial biology, with the activation of endothelial cells at sites of turbulent flow causing expression of adhesion molecules that facilitate leukocyte migration (50). The production of NO by endothelial cell eNOS can modulate this process by direct effects on endothelial cell adhesion molecule expression, helping to maintain a non-inflamed endothelium. Outside this well accepted role for leukocytes in vascular disease pathogenesis there is a developing view that leukocytes may play an unexpected role in hypertension. Data from animal studies shows that animals deficient in either T lymphocytes or myeloid cells have a blunted response to angiotensin II induced hypertension.
iNOS in vascular disease

The major role of BH4 in vascular disease is frequently considered to be in regulating eNOS function in the endothelial cell layer. This focus on endothelial cell biology overlooks important roles for BH4 in the control of other NOS isoforms, in particular iNOS, which are expressed by other cell types. In contrast to the vasculo-protective role of eNOS, iNOS expression is regarded as detrimental, in particular due to its role in septic shock. The biology of iNOS is complex, it clearly has fundamental roles in physiology such as in host defense against pathogens such as *Listeria monocytogenes* (54). Yet in complex acute pathologies such as septic shock this enzyme has powerful beneficial and detrimental effects related to cell types which express iNOS. Expression in leukocytes has been shown to be beneficial in models of non-sterile sepsis, principally caecal ligation and puncture (CLP) (55). In models of sterile sepsis, such as intravenous LPS injection, expression of iNOS by smooth muscle cells mediates the profound hypotension, which can ultimately cause death and as such iNOS knockout mice can show protection in this model (54).

Expression of iNOS in inflammatory cells is deleterious in atherosclerotic plaque progression and ischaemia-reperfusion injury. iNOS RNA and protein is reported in human atherosclerotic lesions (14), where its presence has been shown to co-localise with areas of lipid oxidation and protein nitration (56, 57). In experimental ApoE-/- models of atherosclerosis iNOS expression has been found to exacerbate disease, with iNOS-/- animals showing a decreased disease burden following high-fat feeding (58-61). iNOS is expressed by multiple cell types within atherosclerotic plaque, including both macrophages and smooth muscle cells (56), with data from bone marrow chimera showing that iNOS expression by both leukocytes and parenchymal cells is proatherogenic (62). Whether these pro-inflammatory effects of iNOS are due to NO production and whether iNOS uncoupling occurs in these conditions is not clear.

Induction of iNOS in inflammatory cells is accompanied by induction of *GCH1*, leading to increased BH4 synthesis that is required for iNOS activity and inflammatory responses (63). The pro-inflammatory role of iNOS has been ascribed to the high enzymatic output of NO, which can in turn react with ROS to produce RNS such as peroxynitrite. In the macrophage the pronounced expression of BH4 and iNOS is logical given the role of these phagocytic cells in limiting microbial infection. Studies have also shown that BH4 levels are increased in T lymphocytes following activation via the T-cell receptor and is accompanied by an induction of NOS-mediated NO and superoxide production (22). Indeed, despite co-induction of *GCH1* and BH4 synthesis, iNOS may increase systemic oxidation of BH4 in disease states such as atherosclerosis, leading to a relative decrease in BH4, due to formation of dihydrobiopterin (BH2) and biopterin (B) that are unable to act as NOS cofactors (64). In atherosclerotic plaque, iNOS expression contributes to peroxynitrite mediated effects such as 3-nitrotyrosine levels and lipid peroxidation following high-fat feeding (59, 64), and generates ROS in the atherosclerotic vasculature (65). Thus, the regulation of both iNOS-dependent NO production and ROS production by BH4 may play pathological roles in vascular disease, which may be opposing to the effects of BH4 on eNOS in endothelial cells (Fig. 2). However, the relative contribution of coupled vs. uncoupled iNOS is not clearly established in vascular diseases. The net effect of coupling iNOS could be either detrimental, by enhancing the high output of NO, or beneficial by reducing ROS production or may indicate that other strategies that silence all iNOS functions may be required (Fig. 2).

Isolated protein systems have shown the formation of the iNOS dimer, which is required for activity, is more BH4 dependent than other NOS isoforms (2). More recent studies have shown that iNOS becomes uncoupled by a lack of BH4 and that superoxide induced oxidative loss of BH4 causes ROS formation (65). Additionally, restriction of arginine availability is reported to cause increased ROS output by iNOS (66). Reduced arginine availability is a facet of inflammation and the dual NO and superoxide production by iNOS causes high levels of peroxynitrite formation, which is a potent mediator of the anti-microbial role of iNOS (67). This regulation of ROS production by an additional co-factor may explain studies showing a default production of both NO and ROS by iNOS. Studies have begun to assess the degree to which iNOS uncoupling is seen in physiological and cellular systems, with reduced BH4 causing increased iNOS-uncoupling in T-cells and in renal allografts associated with a BH4-inhibitable superoxide production (22, 68). This leaves open questions about the mechanism by which iNOS is detrimental in vascular disease, which need to be answered before rational therapeutic strategies targeting BH4 availability can be designed.

BH4 regulation in human inflammation

The discordant roles for BH4 in endothelial function vs. inflammation are illustrated in recent studies in patients with coronary artery disease (CAD) (Table 1). Impaired endothelial function in CAD patients is associated with reduced BH4 levels in vascular tissue, but with increased systemic levels of BH4 in plasma (69), that correlate with markers of systemic inflammation, such as plasma hsCRP. Acute inflammatory stimulation impairs endothelial function but increases plasma BH4, in parallel with increased IL-6 (70), demonstrating that inflammation leads to opposing changes in systemic vs. endothelial BH4 effects. *GCH1* genetic variants that reduce *GCH1* expression (71) render subjects unable to upregulate BH4 levels following systemic inflammation. These individuals demonstrate impaired endothelial function following an acute inflammatory challenge when compared to individuals expressing the wildtype allele (70). This data implies that increases in en-
endothelial BH4 induced by inflammatory stimuli are required to maintain coupled eNOS function in the context of a more oxidising inflammatory environment.

**BH4 as a therapeutic target**

Defining the relative roles of the different NOS isoforms and their signalling modalities is crucial for understanding both the utility and the limitations of BH4 ‘therapy’ in vascular disease. Many clinical studies (Table 1) have achieved positive effects on vascular function through local infusion of high-dose BH4 in patients with vascular dysfunction relating to diabetes, hypertension, coronary artery disease, hypercholesterolemia or cigarette smoking (72–76). These studies indicate that endothelial function in human subjects can be augmented by exogenous BH4, in the short term. However, long-term oral supplementation of BH4 has raised challenges that limit the potential of BH4 as a therapy in vascular disease. In younger subjects with hypercholesterolaemia, oral BH4 treatment improved endothelial function (77). In contrast, a double-blind placebo-controlled randomised clinical trial of oral BH4 in CAD patients prior to CABG surgery found no benefit of BH4 on endothelial function, with only a modest increase in vascular tissue BH4 levels, accompanied by an equal or greater increase in tissue BH2 (78). These observations suggest that increasing systemic levels of BH4 in subjects with advanced vascular disease and systemic inflammation is limited by rapid BH4 oxidation. Indeed, there is the possibility that systemic BH4 treatment may contribute to inflammation, rather than inhibiting the inflammation that leads to BH4 oxidation and endothelial dysfunction, through increasing iNOS activity. The simplistic notion that systemic BH4 supplementation will have net effects that are clinically beneficial cannot be presumed in cardiovascular disease states with different mechanisms at different stages of the disease progression (79).

![Diagram of BH4 as a therapeutic target](https://example.com/bh4-diagram.png)

**Figure 2:** Whilst coupled and uncoupled endothelial cell eNOS has well defined roles and associations with vascular inflammation and disease, similar roles have not been assigned for iNOS. iNOS expressed by leukocytes and other cells has the capacity to be deleterious in both its coupled and uncoupled state, but without defining the contribution of these different states of the enzyme it is hard to predict how therapeutic strategies aimed at modulating BH4 levels might impact on inflammatory vascular diseases.
There remains a pressing need for better understanding of the mechanistic role of the NOS enzymes and their regulation by BH4 in cardiovascular disease pathophysiology. The simplistic paradigms of increasing or inhibiting NOS activity, using unselective systemic approaches, must be refined by a more rational approach. Where previously the focus of much research and pre-clinical testing has been aimed purely at altering endothelial BH4 levels and ‘improving’ eNOS function, and thus reducing vascular dysfunction, it now seems clear that BH4 may have potent effects on other cells implicated in cardiovascular disease. How to formulate an agent to supplement BH4 in patients is an additional challenge, given the rapid oxidation of this molecule seen in the recent clinical study (78). If BH4 has competing or non-complementary roles in different cell types the next rational target may be to target the regulation of GTPCH within the target cell population to allow better specificity of effect to be achieved.

The increasing evidence that BH4 plays a role in regulating the function of multiple immune cell types opens up an exciting new field, particularly as this coincides with the recognition that conditions such as hypertension may have a strong immune component. It may be that existing strategies and technologies designed with endothelial BH4 and NOS biology in mind allow rapid progress in both defining the role of BH4 in inflammatory and immune processes and in harnessing this pathway for therapeutic benefit. However, there are still fundamental questions that need to be answered to allow translation of recent discoveries to patients. There are crucial differences in how humans and mice utilise the iNOS pathway, with this being a major player in murine innate immunity and macrophage function, as illustrated by the dependence on iNOS for survival from mycobacterial disease, yet in the human the role of iNOS in mTB infected macrophages is unclear (54). Similarly the clear role of iNOS in mediating and modulating sepsis in animal models, has not translated to human benefit. These early disappointments leave questions as yet unanswered about the contribution of iNOS (and indeed eNOS expressed by non-endothelial cells) to human pathology. Even when we consider the biology of BH4 in human leukocytes there are complications that need to be analysed such as the reduced capacity of human macrophages to produce BH4 (80). To move forward we need to understand how eNOS and iNOS enzymatic regulation and NO-ROS signalling, in both endothelial cells and inflammatory cells, are altered in cardiovascular disease states, and which of these mechanistic steps will provide realistic targets for therapy.
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