Microvesicles from platelets: novel drivers of vascular inflammation
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Summary
Microvesicles are receiving increased attention not only as biomarkers but also as mediators of cell communication and as integral effectors of disease. Platelets present a major source of microvesicles and release these microvesicles either spontaneously or upon activation. Platelet-derived microvesicles retain many features of their parent cells and have been shown to exert modulatory effects on vascular and immune cells. Accordingly, microvesicles from platelets can be measured at increased levels in patients with cardiovascular disease or individuals at risk. In addition, isolated microvesicles from platelets were shown to exert immunomodulatory actions on various cell types. In this review the various aspects of platelet-derived microvesicles including release, clearance, measurement, occurrence during disease and relevance for the pathophysiology of vascular inflammation will be discussed.
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Introduction
Platelets release microvesicles upon activation and during prolonged storage. Although microvesicle release might play an important role in coagulation, evidence also points towards functions of these microvesicles in the functional modulation of immune cells. Microvesicles retain many properties of their parent cells, such as the repertoire of surface molecules, the ability to deposit chemokines to the vessel wall (1) and to confer inflammatory signals to distal target cells (2, 3). Here, we provide a brief state-of-the-art review of the inflammatory function of platelets and platelet-derived microvesicles (PMVs) in particular.

Pro-inflammatory roles of platelets
Besides their role in haemostasis, platelets are receiving increased recognition as an integral component of the immune system (4). For example, platelets carry functional copies of toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4) enabling them to sense bacterial lipopolysaccharide (LPS) (5). Activation of platelet-TLR4 was found to induce binding of platelets to neutrophils, inducing the formation of neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs), which facilitated the entrapment of bacteria during sepsis (6). In addition, platelets rapidly respond to contact with bacteria and were recently found to cooperate with Kupffer cells to eradicate infection of B. cereus in the mouse liver (7). Yet besides the important functions in host defence, the action of platelets can also turn against the host, particularly during autoimmune disease. A detrimental role of platelets during the progression of atherosclerosis is well established (reviewed in [8, 9]) and a recent study showed the presence of platelets in the spinal cord of mice with experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE) and in lesions of patients with multiple sclerosis (10). Depletion of platelets was found to improve clinical scores of mice with induced EAE and reduced the inflammatory parameters in their spinal cords. Interestingly, platelets were found to play a role in the recruitment of leukocytes to the central nervous system after induction of EAE.

There are several possible mechanisms how platelets can facilitate the recruitment of leukocytes to sites of inflammation. First, activated platelets carry cytokines such as CD40L, which can directly induce endothelial activation through ligation of CD40 (11, 12), causing the production of adhesion molecules and chemokines.

Despite being anucleate, platelets retain the ability to translate mRNA leading, e.g. to the production of interleukin (IL) 1β (13), a cytokine implicated in the inflammatory action of platelets to the vessel wall (14). Second, activated platelets can deposit chemokines onto the surface of inflamed endothelial cells, leading to recruitment of leukocytes. Most prominent examples are the chemokines CCL5 and CXCL4, which act in concert to attract monocytes, contributing to the progression of atherosclerosis (15, 16) and acute lung injury (17). Third, activated platelets avidly bind to leukocytes and modulate their activity. Platelet–monocyte interac-
tion is initiated by P-selectin–PSGL-1 interactions and causes the transcription of inflammatory genes in monocytes (18). In addition, platelet binding considerably increases the adhesiveness of monocytes to endothelial cells by increasing the amount of possibilities of which an interaction with the endothelium can take place (19, 20). We have previously shown that CX3CR1, a chemokine receptor expressed by activated platelets mediated the complex formation of platelets and monocytes during hyperlipidemia in mice and was involved in platelet-leukocyte interaction to vascular smooth muscle cells (SMC) (21). Besides acting on monocytes, also lymphocyte recruitment to the subendothelial matrix of a damaged vessel is specifically enhanced through the binding of platelets (22, 23). Genetic deletion of junctional adhesion molecule A in platelets causes the platelets to become hyperreactive (24), a condition that facilitates early atherosclerotic plaque formation in hyperlipidemic mice (25).

Finally, platelets contain many mitochondria that have been shown to be involved in platelet activation (26, 27). Extracellular mitochondria can be a source of damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) mediating inflammation through their bacteria-like components (28). Recently it was demonstrated that thrombin-stimulated platelets release mitochondria into the extracellular milieu, either as free organelles or encapsulated within microvesicles (29). These mitochondria were shown to act as an endogenous substrates for phospholipase A2 IIA, which catalysed the release of inflammatory mediators that promoted leukocyte recruitment (29).

Thus, platelets contribute to inflammation in a variety of ways. Moreover, since platelets abundantly shed microvesicles either spontaneously or upon activation, they can transduce signals and modulate cellular functions not only in their local environment but also at a remarkable distance from their site of origin throughout the circulatory system.

Release of microvesicles from platelets

Although it is well established that many cells, particularly platelets, release microvesicles after activation (30), the mechanisms and the molecular factors that govern microvesicle formation are less clear. Early studies investigating platelets from patients with genetic defects have revealed a correlation between procoagulant activity and vesicle formation in platelets (31, 32). For example, platelets from individuals with Scott syndrome, a rare bleeding disorder, lack plasma membrane phosphatidylserine (PS) exposure upon activation due to a defect in membrane scrambling activity. The induction of a procoagulant PS-rich outer membrane leaflet is apparently also involved in the formation and release of vesicles, since Scott platelets did form little PMVs after stimulation with a variety of agonists (32). Platelets isolated from patients with Glanzmann’s thrombasthenia, a genetic deficiency in glycoprotein (GP) IIbIIIa (integrin αIbβ3), or platelets treated with antibodies or compounds inhibiting integrin αIbβ3 showed a similar defect in PMVs generation (31). Other studies implied a role for the calpain class of cysteine proteases and the integrity of the platelet cytoskeleton in the shedding of PMVs after platelet activation (33–35). For completion, it should be mentioned that platelets also release exosomes upon activation, which are small (40–100 nm) membrane-enclosed vesicles derived from organelles (36). These exosomes are outside the scope of this review. Interestingly, platelets do not require agonist-induced activation for the release of PMVs. The life span of platelets is regulated by an apoptosis-like process (37), and platelet concentrates destined for transfusion accumulate PMVs during prolonged storage (38). Similar to PMVs release by platelet activation, the integrity of the cytoskeleton and the action of integrin αIbβ3 are important for this spontaneous release of PMVs, since inhibitors of integrin αIbβ3 considerably attenuated PMVs release by stored platelets (38). Moreover, phenotypic differences exist between PMVs released upon activation and those released spontaneously during prolonged storage. Spontaneously released PMVs show a greater expression of CD42b compared to thrombin stimulated PMVs, whereas CD62P expression is increased on PMVs from thrombin-stimulated platelets. In addition, PMVs released spontaneously and by platelet sonication show a higher expression of activated αIbβ3. Thrombin- and Ca2+ ionophore stimulated PMVs display a lower expression of αIbβ3 but a higher expression of CD63 and annexin A5 (39). However, according to a later study there is no difference in binding to annexin A5, indicating that most PMVs express PS on their outer membrane (40). Moreover, different stimuli and activation pathways result not only in a variance of expression of surface molecules but also in the total number of released PMVs. Accordingly, the activation pathway determines the number and cargo of PMVs (41) and should be taken into account regarding functional studies on target cells.

Although platelets represent a major source of microvesicles in plasma, other cell types such as endothelial cells, smooth muscle cells, monocytes, lymphocytes and erythrocytes also release microvesicles. Despite differences in surface marker expression, the effects of microvesicles from these sources on vascular cells might overlap with those observed for PMVs and the effects might be net inflammatory, although microvesicles also mediate cell-cell communication (42). In addition, microvesicles from different cellular sources might act complementary in eliciting inflammatory reactions, e.g. as has been observed for microvesicles from atherosclerotic plaques (43). Extracellular vesicles from sources other than platelets, as well as exosomes and apoptotic bodies are outside of the scope of this review and have been discussed elsewhere (3, 44). Nevertheless, some notable aspects of these extracellular vesicles in vascular function are highlighted in Table 1.

Clearance of microvesicles

At present, a few studies investigated the clearance of microvesicles from the circulation. A rapid clearance of infused biotinylated PMVs was shown in a rabbit model (45). Recently, the clearance of PMVs from human apheresis platelet concentrates (PC) following platelet transfusion in severe thrombocytopenic patients was demonstrated. Following an immediate increase
Quantitation and characterisation of platelet microvesicles

Various quantitative and qualitative methods have been established for the analysis of PMVs. Flow cytometry is most commonly used for the qualitative and quantitative characterisation of microvesicles. Concerning their small heterogeneous size, the
quantiﬁcation of PMVs remains challenging. Still useful methods have been established. The ﬂow cytometer used for PMVs analysis should have a low size detection threshold. Newer instruments i.e. Gallios (Beckman-Coulter, Pasadena, CA, USA), BD-Inﬂux (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) and Apogee (Apogee Flow Systems Ltd., Hemel Hempstead, UK) enable the discrimination of particles of 100–200 nm in size (61, 62). The conventional method uses antibodies against annexin A5 and speciﬁc cell surface molecules, i.e. integrin αIIb (GPIIb, CD41a). A recent study demonstrates that most PMVs express PS on their outer membrane, suggesting that annexin A5 is sufﬁcient as a marker for quantiﬁcation (40). Further, microbeads (e.g. Megamix™, Biocytex, Marseille, France or SPHERO™ AccuCount, Spherotech, Lake Forest, IL, USA) with a deﬁned size and count are used to calculate the absolute cell number of PMVs. The International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis (ISTH) has developed a standardised method for the quantiﬁcation of microvesicles that uses the Megamix™ solution (63). Another possibility is to couple PMVs to micrometre-sized latex beads using antibodies against speciﬁc surface markers, similar to that done for exosomes (64).

The results of ﬂow cytometry analysis depend on analytical factors i.e. the type of instrument, instrument settings and the resolution but also on factors related to the sample preparation and storage. Other state-of-the-art technologies include dynamic light scattering (DLS), which calculates the differential size distribution of a microvesicle population. Nanoparticle tracking analysis (NTA) and resistive pulse sensing (RPS) determine the absolute size distribution (65, 66). Additionally, Raman spectroscopy can provide information about the biochemical composition of microvesicles (67). Further methods have been applied and adapted for the assessment of microvesicles. Electron microscopy (EM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) allows analysing the morphology, size and presence of speciﬁc surface molecules (68). Advances in electron microscopy might enable visualisation of their three-dimensional structures at high magniﬁcation (69). Moreover, atomic force microscopy (AFM) has been applied for the assessment of microvesicles morphology in a nanometer resolution (56, 70). Other techniques include small-angle X-ray scattering or size-exclusion chromatography combined with dynamic light scattering, both of which are useful for size determination (68).

Among the quantiﬁcation of isolated microvesicles, there is also the possibility to measure microvesicles directly in plasma. Capture-based assays, in which microtiter wells are coated with a probe, e.g. annexin A5, for the binding of negatively charged phospholipids or antibodies against speciﬁc surface markers can be used to quantify microvesicles via their procoagulant activity or through peroxidase-conjugated-antibodies, respectively (71). The main advantage of these assays is a fast and direct measurement of PMVs in plasma. However, these methods do not reveal any information about the size and absolute numbers of PMVs. In addition, problems with the interpretation of the results might occur because not all PMVs might express negatively charged phospholipids or platelet surface markers. Thus, recent studies suggested the use of lactadherin rather than annexin V, as it represents a more sensitive and calcium-independent probe for detection of PS (72). Thus, a major future goal is to standardise the isolation and quantiﬁcation method of microvesicles to ascertain reproducibility and comparability.

### Platelet microvesicles in patients with cardiovascular disease

Microvesicles are arousing interest not only as potential biomarkers for inflammatory diseases but also due to their role in inﬂammatory diseases. For example, PMVs have been implicated in the development and progression of atherosclerosis, the underlying cause for cardiovascular diseases (CVD) (73). Increased circulating levels of PMVs were found in patients with clinically severe peripheral atherosclerosis (74, 75). Moreover, increased PMVs levels were not only detected in diseased patients, but also in older healthy subjects (76). The main risk factors for atherosclerosis, including diabetes mellitus type I and II, hypertension, hyperlipidaemia, hypercholesterolaemia, sleep apnoea and obesity/metabolic syndrome have all been associated with increased PMVs levels (77–83). Increased levels of PMVs identiﬁed in patients with metabolic syndrome positively correlated with waist circumference, glycaemia and oxidative stress markers, e.g. glutathione peroxidase and urinary isoprostane (77). Interestingly, the microvesicle phenotype might vary within the type of diabetes. In patients with diabetes type I, increased levels of annexin A5– and CD41-positive microvesicles have been reported, whereas in type II diabetes only annexin A5–positive microvesicles were signiﬁcantly increased (79). A recent study shows the relation of the type of plaque, with circulating microparticle number, phenotype and activation status of the originating cell in familial hypercholesterolaemia (FH) patients (84). There, increased levels of circulating microvesicles, in particular lymphocyte and monocyte-derived microvesicles were identiﬁed in patients with FH with subclinical lipid-rich atherosclerotic plaques (84). In particular, circulating CD45+/CD3−lymphocyte microvesicles were found in patients with a lipid-rich atherosclerotic plaque but not in patients with a calcified atherosclerotic plaque. The standard treatment in hypercholesterolaemia and hyperlipidaemia is the lipid-lowering treatment (LLT) with statins. The inﬂuence of statins on the number and properties of microvesicles remains controversial. However, hypercholesterolaemic patients who received statins have a reduced level of circulating microvesicles and cell activation markers originating from platelets, endothelial cells and leukocytes (83). Moreover, the administration of atorvastatin reduced thrombin generation and expression of tissue factor, GPIIbα and P-selectin on PMVs in patients with peripheral vascular disease (85). Finally, it remains unclear whether the effects are due to a microvesicle-dependent mechanism or due to the reduction of the lipoprotein levels or inﬂammatory markers. Women after menopause have an increased risk for CVD. One study reported increased levels of PMVs associated with an early stage coronary artery calcification in menopausal women (86). As a whole, elevated levels of PMVs may reﬂect “vulnerable blood”, referring to a hypercoagulable state.
with increased blood thrombogenicity and augmented risk for atherothrombotic events (75). According to such a concept, evaluation of PMVs may help to identify patients at risk for such events and for an adverse outcome. However, the heterogeneity of microvesicles might hamper a clear connection between numbers of circulating microvesicles from a particular cellular origin and the disease risk or clinical severity (87).

Interaction and modulation of vascular and immune cells by platelet microvesicles

Since PMVs carry a surface molecule repertoire similar (but not identical) to that of their parent cells, there are ample opportunities for interaction with other cells (Figure 1). For example, PMVs might interact with endothelial cells via interactions of GPIb (in complex with GPIX and GPV) or integrin αIIbβ3 with VWF presented on the endothelial surface or subendothelial matrix. Accordingly, PMVs were found to adhere both to the subendothelium and to activated endothelial cells and recruited activated platelets to the injured endothelium (88). In a later study, isolated PMVs exogenously added to blood were shown to increase the thrombogenicity of platelets under flow both on a collagen surface as well as on perfused aortic specimen from pigs or individuals with atherosclerotic plaques (89). By the release of PMVs, platelets may engage a functional autocrine, self-amplifying loop mechanism to substantiate and disseminate their procoagulatory potential (87). Isolated microvesicles from individuals with metabolic syndrome consisted largely of PMVs and caused dysfunction of cultured endothelial cells as well as hypocontractility.

Figure 1: Influence of platelet microvesicles: induction of protein expression, induction of cell differentiation and transfer of information on target cells. PMVs interact with the injured endothelium or subendothelial matrix via integrins, thereby being able to recruit activated platelets and increasing thrombogenicity (87, 89). Further, PMVs transfer and deposit inflammatory mediators such as CCL5 onto the endothelial surface (1). Upon contact with T lymphocytes, PMVs induce differentiation of naïve CD4+ T-cells into Foxp3+ regulatory T cells (93). In addition, PMVs induce the expression of proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines, i.e. IL-6, CXCL1 and CXCL8 in synoviocytes, a cell type important in the pathophysiology of rheumatoid arthritis (96). Likewise, PMVs transfer the chemokine receptor CXCR4 to angiogenic early outgrowth cells, inducing the release of proangiogenic factors and increase their vasoregenerative potential (103). Co-incubation of PMVs and monocytes induce a phenotypic shift of surface markers towards a phagocytic phenotype (108). However, PMVs might also induce the differentiation of monocytes into immature dendritic cells, resulting in a reduced inflammatory and phagocytic potential (110). Finally, PMVs can be involved in internalisation and the transfer of nucleic acids including mRNA and microRNA (104, 106).
Table 2: Interaction and effects of platelet-derived microvesicles on target cells.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target cell type</th>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Early outgrowth cells (EOCs)</td>
<td>Endothelial regeneration by recruitment, migration, differentiation of EOC</td>
<td>(103)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endothelial cell</td>
<td>Deposition and transfer of chemokines Transfer of nucleic acids</td>
<td>(1), (87) (104, 106)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monocyte</td>
<td>Induction of differentiation to dendritic cell</td>
<td>(110)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monocyte</td>
<td>Modulation of monocyte/endothelial interaction</td>
<td>(107)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monocyte/Macrophage</td>
<td>Modulation of monocyte phenotype / Induction of differentiation into phagocyte</td>
<td>(108, 111) (40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelets</td>
<td>Modulation of thrombogenesis</td>
<td>(40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelets</td>
<td>Increase of thrombogenicity</td>
<td>(89)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelets</td>
<td>Platelet deposition in atherosclerotic plaque</td>
<td>(89)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subendothelium</td>
<td>Platelet interaction with subendothelial matrix, platelet deposition and thrombus formation</td>
<td>(88, 89)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synoviocyte</td>
<td>Inflammatory response in rheumatoid arthritis</td>
<td>(96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T cell</td>
<td>Differentiation to Foxp3+ Treg cells</td>
<td>(93)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and the production of reactive oxygen species in mouse aortic explants (90, 91). During rolling interactions with endothelial cells, PMVs can deposit inflammatory mediators such as CCL5 onto the endothelial surface, leading to subsequent recruitment of mononuclear cells (1). These rolling interactions appeared to depend largely on P-selectin and GPIb, while the actual transfer of CCL5 rather depended on integrin αIIbβ3 and junctional adhesion molecule A. This process might play a role particularly in early phases of atherosclerotic lesion formation, during which endothelial dysfunction is propagated by activated platelets (8). T lymphocytes are among the earliest cells that are recruited in the atherosclerotic lesion (92). Recently, an immunomodulatory role of PMVs on CD4+ T cells has been described. Spontaneously released PMVs from platelets (termed “ectosomes” in the study) induced the differentiation of naïve CD4+ T cells into Foxp3+ regulatory T cells. These effects were dependent on platelet-derived TGF-β. In addition, PMVs decreased the release of IFNγ, TNFα and IL-6 after incubation with T cells. Thus, the interaction of PMVs with CD4+ T cells points towards an immunosuppressive response in vitro (93).

During later phases, PMVs might also play a role by inducing the proliferation of vascular SMC (94). Since SMC are able to express the chemokine CX3CL1 (95), the functional interaction of SMC with PMVs might be mediated through CX3CR1 present on PMVs (1, 21). Similar to platelets, the CX3CL1–CX3CR1 axis might also be involved in the binding of PMVs to monocytes (21). Yet PMVs also appear to play an important role in other autoimmune diseases, such as rheumatoid arthritis (RA). In an elegant study, Boilard and colleagues demonstrated that platelet depletion delayed the onset and ameliorated the symptoms of RA in mice (96). Interestingly, large numbers of microvesicles, the majority carrying the platelet marker CD41a, were measured in synovial fluid from patients with RA but not osteoarthritis. Platelets abundantly released PMVs when brought into contact with cultured fibroblast-like synoviocytes, a cell type important in the pathophysiology of RA. Notably, contact with PMVs induced the production of cytokines, e.g. IL-6 and the neutrophil-attracting chemokines CXCL1 and CXCL8 in fibroblast-like synoviocytes. However PMV preparations, particular from patients with auto-immune disease, might also contain immune complexes with similar physicochemical properties as PMVs (56). Those immune complexes might thus contaminate the PMV preparations and have been shown to induce trigger inflammatory responses (97, 98). On the other hand, it has been demonstrated that microvesicles actively present immunoglobulins on their surface in specimen of patients with RA (99, 100). In addition, a recent study identified platelet microparticle-associated immune complexes in RA synovial fluid, which might be formed by recognition of citrullinated autoantigens such as vimentin and fibrinogen (101). These platelet microparticle-associated immune complexes have been identified as bioactive pro-inflammatory molecules elicits leukotriene release by neutrophils. Taken together, the above studies highlight the inflammatory action of platelets in inflammatory disease and emphasise the role of PMVs as active conveyors of inflammatory information. This transfer of information can occur on several levels, e.g. functional surface receptors might be carried from PMVs to target cells, as demonstrated for CXCR4, the receptor for the chemokine CXCL12. Platelets activated with collagen and thrombin release PMVs that are rich in CXCR4 (102). Incubation of cells that did not express CXCR4 with PMVs acquired the functional receptor and became prone to infection with T-tropic human immunodeficiency strains. Likewise, PMVs transferred functional CXCR4 to angiogenic early outgrowth cells, induce the release of pro-angiogenic factors and increase their vasoregenerative potential (103). The mechanism of cellular modulation by PMVs is not completely known at present. Besides a role of active molecules in PMVs, it might also involve internalisation and a possible transfer of nucleic acids including mRNA and microRNA from the PMVs to the target cell (104–106).
Already in the 1990s, PMVs were shown to have a stimulating effect on monocyctic cells, inducing the expression of inflammatory surface markers and enhancing chemotaxis (107). We have shown that incubation of monocyctic cells and primary monocytes with PMVs isolated from stored quiescent platelets induced a phenotypic shift of surface markers towards a phagocytic phenotype (108). In addition, the expression of matrix metalloprotease 9, hydrogen peroxide and pro-inflammatory factors, including C5a and tumour necrosis factor (TNF) α was strongly increased after incubation with PMVs. Moreover, PMVs isolated from atherosclerotic lesions have been shown to stimulate monocyte adhesion to the endothelium (109) and PMVs increased the expression of ICAM-1 in monocytes (40). The above findings appear to conflict with a simultaneous study, where spontaneously released PMVs rather attenuated the release of TNFa from zymosan-activated macrophages and modified the differentiation of monocytes into dendritic cells, resulting in cells with reduced inflammatory and phagocytic potential (110). Accordingly, the manner of platelet activation/PMVs formation was found to determine the effects of the resulting PMVs on monocyctic cells (40, 111). This again emphasizes that the heterogeneity of microvesicles, even when derived from a single cell type considerably influences the downstream effects on target cells, and should be taken into account when designing functional experiments. An overview of the interactions and effects of PMVs on target cells is summarised in ▶ Table 2.

## Conclusion

During the past decade, the field of extracellular vesicles has been receiving increasing attention. Since platelets are a major source of microvesicles, PMVs are expected to play a prominent role in this emerging new area. However, various aspects still need attention. First, the measurement of PMVs in biologic specimen is still hampered by their small size, emphasizing the necessity of equipment capable of detecting small particulate species. Second, the heterogeneity of PMVs, possibly due to the variety of stimuli that lead to PMVs generation might complicate their utilisation as biomarkers as well as the characterisation of their influence on other cells. Third, many of the pathophysiological effects attributed to PMVs are derived from laboratory experiments using cultured cells. Disease models investigating the role of PMVs’ formation in vivo are still difficult to establish and limited to transfection of isolated PMVs. Moreover, genetic defects that affect PMVs formation often lead to more general defects in platelet function (e.g. integrin αIIbβ3-deficiency). Nevertheless, the current evidence of the importance of PMVs in autoimmune and cardiovascular disease is convincing and the interesting field of platelet extracellular vesicles will continue to expand.
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